ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
55	KPMG has observed several areas of Bell Atlantic non-compliance with industry change control policies.	Closed	Discussion Completed	03/28/00: Issued 03/31/00: KPMG received BA's detailed response to this Observation. A status update will be given after this response has been reviewed. 04/07/00: KPMG has not completed its analysis of BA's response yet. This Observation remains deferred. 04/14/00: BA will be holding a workshop on notifications where KPMG will attend to address flow through change requests. BA is going to investigate the response to Exception ID 6 from the New York trial. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 05/05/00: KPMG is awaiting the results of the Industry Change Control Meeting scheduled for 05/09/00. 05/12/00: The Industry Change Control Meeting did not provide resolutions to this Observation. The status of this Observation remains deferred for further discussion. 05/19/00: This Observation remains deferred. 05/30/00: BA is going to investigate potential resolutions to this Observation. 07/14/00: BA explained that all Type 4 (Bell Atlantic originated) CR's that are classified as "Flow through" items should be considered to be non-CLEC affecting as defined by the TIS Change Management Process released on July 6, 2000: "In the event that Bell Atlantic is forced to deviate from the Type 4 (Bell Atlantic Originated) process for new non-impacting interface functionality, Bell Atlantic will notify all TCs of the deviation as promptly as possible." This Observation has been closed.	MA Observation report 55.doc
56	KPMG has found two different versions of collocation application forms posted on Bell Atlantic's Wholesale Markets web site.	Closed	Discussion Completed	03/28/00: Issued 03/31/00: BA stated that it needs more time to analyze the Issue. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 04/07/00: BA will remove the old version of the form. BA has not yet announced a date when the old version is being removed. This Observation remains deferred. 04/14/00: KPMG has been able to verify that the older version of the form has been removed. This Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 56.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
57	KPMG observed missing DUF records for usage and conversation time.	Closed	Discussion Completed	03/28/00: Issued 03/31/00: Issue 57.1 The status of the Issue remains deferred for further investigation on BA's side. Issue 57.2 This Issue is directly related to MA Observation #36 and will remain deferred until the DUF re-test has been conducted, and KPMG has been able to verify that the problem has been fixed. 04/07/00: Issue 57.2 The status of this Issue remains deferred pending the results of the DUF usage re-test. 04/14/00: Issue 57.1 BA explained that the files were sent to KPMG. KPMG will review the DUF files received. This Issue remains deferred. 05/05/00: Issue 57.1 KPMG found Items 1 through 4 to be correct given BA's additional information. Item 5, however, does not show DUF records for the calls that were billed. This Item was related to the typographical error. Item 6 is related to Issue 41.17 that is a migration to a different type of service. The entire Issue remains deferred. 05/12/00: Issue 57.1 Based on KPMG's analysis, this Issue can be closed. Issue 57.2 Based on the DUF usage re-test results, this Issue can be closed.	MA Observation report 57.doc
58	KPMG has received invalid TNs when using the Direct TN Selection Inquiry method for Pre-orders submitted via EDI.	Closed	Discussion Completed	04/04/00: Issued 04/07/00: The status of this Observation remains deferred for further discussion. 04/14/00: KPMG stated that it has re-tested the Issue. The results of the re-test have been satisfying. This Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 58.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional
50	WDVC:		<u> </u>	0441400 7	Documents
59	KPMG is unable to process	Closed	Discussion	04/11/00: Issued	MA
	Directory Listing Service orders submitted via EDI.		Complete	04/14/00:	Observation
1	subfilled via EDI.			Issue 59.1 BA agreed. BA explained that this Issue is related to	report 59.doc
				confusion in TISOC. BA further stated that resale	
ł				representatives looked at the particular orders and	
				"mistakenly" sent queries back, not knowing that the cause for the drop out of the order was a system error. As	
ļ				an interim solution, BA offered a manual correction by	
				04/22/00. This Issue remains deferred for verification.	
				Issue 59.2 KPMG is going to provide BA with additional	:
				information on this Issue. The status remains deferred.	
				Issue 59.3 BA agreed. BA explained that this Issue is related to	
				confusion in TISOC. BA further stated that resale	
				representatives looked at the particular orders and	
ļ				"mistakenly" sent queries back, not knowing that the	
				cause for the drop out of the order was a system error. As	
İ		an interim solution, BA offered a manual correction by			
				04/22/00. This Issue remains deferred for verification.	
				04/28/00:	
				Issue 59.1 KPMG stated that it is currently re-testing this Issue. The	:
•				status of this Issue remains deferred.	
				Issue 59.2 KPMG has provided BA with additional information, and	
				BA stated that is further investigating the Issue. The	
				status of this Issue remains deferred.	
				Issue 59.3 KPMG stated that it is currently re-testing this Issue. The	
				status of this Issue remains deferred.	
				05/05/00:	
				Issue 59.1 This Issue can be closed based on KPMG's re-test results.	
			1	Issue 59.2 BA has identified a system problem with the TISOC name	
				and number and announced a fix for 5/20/00.	
				Issue 59.3 This Issue can be closed based on KPMG's re-test results.	
				05/12/00:	
				Issue 59.2 The status of this Issue will remain deferred pending the verification of the fix scheduled for 05/20/00.	
				05/19/00:	
				Issue 59.2 Bell Atlantic announced that the fix for this Issue has	
				been delayed until 06/17/00. The status of this Issue	
				remains deferred until that time when KPMG can verify	
				1 Temains deferred until that time when KPMG can verify	

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				the fix. 06/23/00: Issue 59.2 This Issue can be closed based on KPMG's re-test results.	Botuments
60	KPMG observed that the Cable-Id field in the Local Service Confirmation (LSC) is being returned with a code that is different from the code in the Local Service Request (LSR).	Closed	Discussion Completed	04/11/00: Issued 04/14/00: BA agreed. BA explained that a change bulletin (CR#928) has been sent in December 1999 to address this Issue. Additionally, a CLEC conference call took place. The status of this Observation remains deferred for further clarification. 04/28/00: KPMG explained that the change bulleting is not satisfactorily addressing this Observation. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 05/05/00: BA announced to publish an informational CLEC notice on the changes of the Notes and Conditions for the cable ID field on the LSC for clarification. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 05/12/00: BA has submitted a change notification (#1435) to address this problem. KPMG is assessing the offered solution. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 05/19/00: KPMG continues to analyze the solution provided by BA. This Observation remains deferred until 05/30/00. 05/30/00: KPMG explained that including the Cable-ID on the LSC is the desired solution to this Observation. BA suggested the change request to be addressed via Change Control by the CLEC community. KPMG is considering BA's response. This Observation remains deferred. 06/02/00: KPMG has completed its analysis of BA's response. KPMG agrees to the solution provided on 5/30/00. This Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 60.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional
	<u> </u>				Documents
61	RPMG observed that the CLEC Handbook and the CLEC Contact Lists on BA's TIS web site do not provide complete process descriptions, contact lists, or help desk numbers.	Status Closed	Discussion Complete	Notes 04/18/00: Issued 04/24/00: Issue 61.1 BA agreed. BA provided a New Contact List for BA North TISOC in an Informational Message to change control via email on 04/20/00. There has been no further definition of the TISOC process. Hours of operation have been provided for the NE UNE Loop and the DSL TISOC, but are not listed for the other Centers in Section 8.1 or the Change Control Message. These Issues remain deferred for further analysis and is pending the update of the CLEC Handbook. Issue 61.2 BA agreed. BA provided a New Contact List for BA North TISOC in an Informational Message to change control via email on 04/20/00. No response has been provided with respect to the missing Information on the Ordering (North) Helpdesk or BA System Support. These Issues remain deferred for further analysis and is pending the update of the CLEC Handbook. 04/28/00: Issue 61.1 BA announced that the Handbook on the web site will be updated by 05/15/00. The status of this Issue remains deferred for verification of the changes. Issue 61.2 BA announced that the Handbook on the web site will be	

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				Issue can be closed. This Observation can be closed.	
62	KPMG was overcharged for the OWC rate applied to UNE Usage.	Closed	Discussion Completed	04/18/00: Issued 04/24/00: BA requested more time to investigate this Issue. 04/28/00: BA disagreed. BA explained that OWC was being charged on a "per second" basis. The rate on the bill was the "per second" rate multiplied by 60 and, therefore, expressed in minutes. KPMG confirmed BA's explanation. This Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 62.doc
63	KPMG is not being charged for Operator Work Time (OWC) in a manner consistent with its Interconnection Agreement with Bell Atlantic.	Closed	Discussion Complete	04/25/00: Issued 04/28/00: BA agreed. BA explained that the rate being applied was displayed incorrectly in the "per second" rate. A fix was implemented 02/01/00 to show the "per minute" rate (refer to Observation 62). The correction should be evidenced on KPMG's February bills. This Observation remains deferred for the verification of the correction. 05/05/00: KPMG will take a look at its next bill. This Observation remains deferred for verification. 05/19/00: KPMG will analyze the April bill. This Observation remains deferred pending verification. 06/02/00: The correction has been verified. This Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 63.doc
64	Directory assistance unbranded charges are not documented in the Unbundler Scenarios located in the CLEC handbook.	Closed	Discussion Complete	04/25/00: Issued 04/28/00: BA disagreed that there is no documentation for unbranded DAC charges in unbundler scenarios in the CLEC handbook. However, BA agreed that the documentation could be made clearer and announced that a documentation change will be made to reflect scenarios that apply to both branded and unbranded DAC charges. The status of this Observation remains deferred.	MA Observation report 64.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				05/05/00: BA announced the changes to be implemented with release of Handbook on 07/15/00. BA is going to send an email to KPMG to confirm this statement. 06/23/00: BA has been able to update the Handbook by 06/15/00. KPMG was able to verify that the CLEC Handbook was updated. This Observation can be closed.	
65	Based on Unbundler Scenario #4, KPMG was not charged as expected on its February bill for usage generated in the Winchester end office.	Closed	Verification post Test	04/25/00: Issued 04/28/00: BA requested more time to investigate this Issue. 05/05/00: BA agreed. The Observation remains deferred for verification of the announced change. 05/12/00: BA explained that the default value '00' was populated as message type and this was incorrectly passed to CABS where it was rated as a national directory call (WNDA) although the actual call was not. BA announced the scheduled change for 05/20/00. 07/07/00: This Observation has been closed based on the announced change. KPMG has not verified this change. KPMG does not plan a verification of this fix.	MA Observation report 65.doc
66	KPMG observed that several rate elements expected on the bill, based on the DUF records received, did not appear on the bill.	Closed	Discussion Complete	04/25/00: Issued 04/28/00: BA disagreed. BA indicated that KPMG applied the wrong unbundler scenario when rating these calls. This Observation will remain deferred for further discussion. 05/09/00: Addendum #1 to this Observation issued. 05/12/00: Issue 66.1 Based on BA's explanation for the rating of these calls, KPMG has been able to verify the charges and closes this Issue. Issue 66.2 This Issue remains deferred. 05/19/00: Issue 66.2 BA has no update to the addendum at this time. The status of this Issue remains deferred. 06/02/00: Issue 66.2: This Issue has been replaced by Issue 66.3 and can be closed. Issue 66.3 BA agreed. BA delivered an explanation for Item 3	MA Observation report 66.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				(refer also to Observation 65). This problem could be resolved. For the remaining Items 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, BA will revise the documentation by 7/15 stating that this rate element was not tariffed and, therefore, was not being billed. Instead, ULCTC was being billed in its place. Item 4: EMI does not provide any field to accommodate 'operator work time' as documented in the CLEC Handbook. This Issue remains deferred. 06/09/00: Issue 66.3 BA has sent KPMG records that erred out. KPMG has been able to verify these records. BA announced that the verbiage on UTCTC in the Handbook is going to be changed on 6/15/00. This Issue remains deferred. 06/16/00: Issue 66.3 KPMG verified that the Handbook was updated. This Issue can be closed. This Observation can be closed.	
67	KPMG observed discrepancies between the quantity information provided in the Usage section of its bills for UNE services and the DUF records provided to KPMG.	Closed	Discussion Complete	04/25/00: Issued 04/28/00: BA requested more time to investigate this Issue. 06/02/00: KPMG has received additional information and is assessing it. This Observation remains deferred. 07/10/00: KPMG has raised this Observation to an Exception (MA Exception #11). Therefore, this Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 67.doc
68	KPMG observed that charges associated with certain rate elements appeared on a bill but were not expected based on the scenarios applicable to the DUF records provided by Bell Atlantic.	Closed	Discussion Complete	04/25/00: Issued 05/12/00: BA requested more time to investigate this Issue. 05/19/00: The status of this Observation remains deferred. 05/30/00: Addendum #1 issued. 06/02/00: Issue 68.1 This Issue has been replaced by Issue 68.2 and can be closed. Issue 68.2 BA disagreed with this Issue. KPMG is going to re-rate some of the usage based on additional information that BA is going to provide. This Issue remains deferred. 06/09/00: Issue 68.2 KPMG has validated that these calls were operator handled calls and, therefore, has re-rated these calls and	MA Observation report 68.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				has been able to resolve the problem. This Issue can be closed.	
69	The charges associated with rate elements that apply to a certain scenario in the CLEC handbook appear to be missing in the DTE MA tariffs and in Bell Atlantic's Interconnection Agreement with KPMG.	Closed	Discussion Complete	04/25/00: Issued 05/12/00: BA disagreed. BA explained that if the DTE MA tariffs and Bell Atlantic's Interconnection Agreement with KPMG does not include certain charges the CLEC will not be billed these charges. KPMG is considering BA's response. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 05/19/00: KPMG agrees with BA's explanation. This Observation is closed.	MA Observation report 69.doc
70	KPMG is receiving extraneous usage from a retail line.	Closed	Discussion Complete	04/25/00: Issued 05/12/00: BA requested more time to investigate this Issue. 05/19/00: KPMG and BA agreed that KPMG was properly billed; however, BA continues to investigate why KPMG received 100101 instead of 010101 records. This Observation remains deferred. 06/02/00: KPMG received 100101 records for alternate billed calls records while expecting to receive 010101. BA's response is that alternate billed calls are now being recorded as 100101 records and forwarded on to the CLEC. Based on this response, KPMG is closing this Observation.	MA Observation report 70.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
71	KPMG observed an untimely charge on its Administrative Bill.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/16/00: Issued. 05/19/00: BA disagrees with KPMG that this is a valid Observation BA explained that all usage received for Administrative charges before the Administrative bill is printed will show up on the bill. There are no 'from' and 'through' dates on the bill. BA has not received any requests from CLECs to show the Administrative bill cut off date. KPMG will take BA's comments into consideration. Th Observation remains deferred pending further discussion 05/30/00: KPMG has accepted BA's response that usage received for Administrative charges before the Administrative bill is printed will show up on the bill. This Observation is closed.	Report 71.doc
72	KPMG has received bills belonging to other CLECs.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/23/00: Issued 05/30/00: BA agreed. This Observation is a result of manual misdirection. BA offered two solutions addressing this issue. BA has altered its process to prevent this problem in the future. The first and last pages of the printed bill will be checked to ensure that they belong to the same Billing Account. The Overnight office has been instructed by BA to double-check the billing address in the system before sending the bill. KPMG will consider BA's response. This Observation remains deferred. 06/02/00: KPMG has agreed to BA's explanation and is closing the Observation.	
73	Order transactions are being processed in a manner inconsistent with the Bell Atlantic North Generic Flow-Through Ordering Scenarios documentation.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/23/00: Issued 05/30/00: BA agreed. BA announced that it will be issuing a new document on 06/02/00. This Observation remains deferred. 06/02/00: KPMG has been able to validate BA's response. This Observation has been closed.	MA Observation Report 73.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional
					Documents
74	KPMG observed several	Closed	Discussion	05/23/00: Issued	MA
1	instances of unclear		Complete	05/30/00: BA requested more time to investigate Issues 74.1, 74.2,	Observation
	documentation in the Bell			74.3, 74.4, and 74.6. KPMG has eliminated Issue 74.5.	report 74.doc
	Atlantic North Order Business			06/23/00: BA provided KPMG a detailed response in writing via	
1	Rules, LSOG 2, v1.8.1.			email on 06/18/00 addressing all deferred Issues under	
				this Observation.	
				Issue 74.1 BA explained that the Order, as submitted, was in	
				agreement with the business rules and accurate. As such,	
				the order should not have been rejected. The SEM was	
				incorrectly originated by a service representative. The	}
1				representative has been instructed on the correct rules for	
1				this issue. A Methods flash will be sent to the TISOC to	
				reinforce to the correct rules. KPMG is waiting to verify	1
				an internal flash BA sent to the TISOC to correct this	
				issue. This Issue is deferred.	
				Issue 74.2 Bell Atlantic stated it will modify these fields to include a	
				condition that states, "Required when ((the actual	
				service address, as identified in Bell Atlantic databases,	
				contains this component) and (the SASN is populated)),	
				otherwise prohibited." KPMG has been able to verify this	
				modification communicated Flash #1512. This Issue is	}
				closed.	
i				Issue 74.3 Bell Atlantic stated in its response that it disagrees with	
				KPMG's conclusion. The information about product	
				USOC feature compatibility is not found in the Order	
				Business Rules. To understand these relationships, a	
				CLEC/Reseller should review: "Bell Atlantic North	
				USOC In-Scope Table, Resale Products", located on the BA Web Site. This document identifies the different	
				class of service USOCs for main lines and additional lines	
				required by Bell Atlantic. KPMG was able to verify this statement by checking the BA North USOC in-scope	
				document on BA's web site. This Issue is closed.	
				Issue 74.4 BA explained that, currently, the Business Rules state	
				that the LAZC, LAST, and LALOC, are required when	
ĺ				the LASN is populated. These conditions are accurate.	
-				The LANO is conditional, with the notes and conditions	
İ				stating "Optional when the LASN is populated, otherwise	
		L. <u></u>	l	stating Optional when the LASN is populated, otherwise	

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				prohibited." This statement is accurate. The LATH is conditional, with the notes and conditions stating "Optional when the LASN is populated, otherwise prohibited." This statement will be modified to indicate: "Required when the (LASN field is populated) and ((the LATH is not a street) or (the LASN is a single digit) or (the LASN is a directional sign) or (the LASN is a letter) or (the LASN is the same as the LALOC)), otherwise prohibited'. BA will modify the LASN field to make it conditional. In addition, a statement will be added to read: "Required when the LACT is = "N" or "I", otherwise optional". KPMG has been able to verify the information in Flash #1513. This Issue is closed. Issue 74.6 Bell Atlantic announced it will modify a conditional statement in the Blocking Activity field on the PS form from: "When the ACT field on the LSR form = "V" and the LNA field = "N", only an entry of "A" is valid." To: "When the LNA field = "N", only an entry of "A" is valid." KPMG has been able to verify the information in Flash #1514. This Issue is closed. 06/30/00: Issue 74.1 KPMG received the internal flash BA sent to the TISOC to correct this issue and was able to verify BA's statement. This Issue is closed. 07/05/00: Addendum to Issue 74.2 has been issued. 07/07/00: BA deferred its response to the Addendum. 07/20/00: Flash CR# 1600 has been sent and is being analyzed by KPMG. 07/28/00: KPMG has analyzed and verified Flash CR#1600. This Observation has been closed.	

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional
75	VDMC - b 1		<u> </u>	05/22/00 1	Documents
13	KPMG observed an instance of unclear documentation in the Network Channel (NC) and Network Channel Interface (NCI) Codes v1.1.	Closed	Discussion Complete	 05/23/00: Issued 05/30/00: BA agreed. KPMG is considering BA's response. This Observation remains deferred. 06/02/00: KPMG defers this Observation for verification of the web page update. 06/09/00: KPMG has been able to verify the improved information included in the LSOG 4 appendix of the documentation. BA stated that the LSOG 4 information also applies to LSOG 2. This Observation remains deferred for verification of the update on BA's web site. 06/23/00: KPMG has verified the update on BA's web site. This Observation is closed. 	MA Observation report 75.doc
76	HP observed missing documentation and discrepancies for BA CR#1308.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/23/00: Issued 05/30/00: KPMG is considering BA's response. This Observation is deferred. 06/02/00: Issue 76.1 KPMG is considering BA's response. This Issue remains deferred. Issue 76.2 BA announced it would send a flash to address this Issue. This Issue remains deferred. Issue 76.3 BA announced it would send a flash to address this Issue. This Issue remains deferred. 06/09/00: Issue 76.1 Upon KPMG's request, BA explained that documentation is not existing. BA is going to send an email to provide further clarification. 06/13/00: Issue 76.1 BA explained in its email that the Data Size field is a calculation of the length of the CSSR text message. EDI returns the text message but not the calculated Data Size. Therefore, this will not be displayed in the specification or example. This Issue remains deferred. 06/23/00: KPMG received and is in the process of reviewing Flash #1308 addressing these Issues. This Observation remains deferred. 06/30/00: Issue 76.2 KPMG was able to validate the new Business Rules.	MA Observation report 76.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional
				This Issue is closed. Issue 76.3 KPMG verified that the Business Rules were clarified. This Issue is closed. 07/25/00: Issue 76.1 This issue is covered in Exception #10 under Issue (B) and Exception #12 under Issue 1 (E). Both Exception Issues have been closed based on CR#1605. CR# 1605 was issued for Pre-Order Business Rules v2.8.1 and v4.3.1. KPMG was able to verify that mapping specifications have been provided. Additionally, an EDI Example has been added. The Business Rules and EDI Guidelines match regarding the Data Size field. This Issue can be closed.	Documents
77	KPMG observed several instances of unexpected responses to erred orders and preorders.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/23/00: Issued 05/30/00: KPMG is considering BA's response. All three Issues under this Observation remain deferred. 06/02/00: Issue 77.1 BA disagreed. This Issue remains deferred for further discussion. Issue 77.2 BA explained this Issue and it can be closed. Issue 77.3 BA explained that this Issue is the result of human error. This Issue can be closed. 06/09/00: Issue 77.1 Upon KPMG's request, BA is going to send an email to provide further clarification. 06/13/00: Issue 77.1 In its email, BA explains that it does not agree with the conclusion drawn in this Observation. This order was correctly processed and confirmed without a SEM. On a REQTYPE = AB, Bell Atlantic allows CLECs to submit new listings as part of the request. Since the request is not associated with a Port Out transaction, this listing is processed in a similar manner as if it were submitted as a standalone listing. As with Standalone Listings, Bell Atlantic does not validate or verify the accuracy of the listed number – it expects the CLEC to be responsible for accurately listing the end user. This Issue remains	MA Observation report 77.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				deferred. 06/23/00: Issue 77.1 After reviewing BA's email from 06/13, and conducting a retest with satisfactory results, KPMG is closing this Issue.	
78	Several Loop-Qualification Basic pre-order inquiries have failed during testing.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/23/00: Issued 05/30/00: BA agreed. The problem will be fixed with the June release (06/17/00). The Observation remains deferred for verification. 06/23/00: KPMG defers for additional re-testing. BA will also confirm that the fix was put in place. This Observation remains deferred. 06/30/00: Based on the results of KPMG's retest, this Observation is closed.	MA Observation report 78.doc
79	HP observed that a usage discrepancy for the PRILOC field exists between the Order Business Rules, v1.8.1, and the North Order EDI Guide, v1.8.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/23/00: Issued 05/30/00: BA requested more time to investigate this issue. The Observation remains deferred. 06/09/00: BA is going to initiate a CLEC notification on the field change to "conditional." The Observation remains deferred. 06/23/00: KPMG has received and is in the process of reviewing Flash CR# 1496. This Observation remains deferred. 06/30/00: The change of the field in the Business Rules has been confirmed, and this Observation is closed.	MA Observation report 79.doc
80	KPMG observed two instances of unexpected responses to erred pre-orders.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/30/00: Issued 06/02/00: BA agreed. The Notes and Conditions are going to reflect a field population change from conditional to optional. BA announced that it is going to send out a CLEC notification with the updates in the Notes and Conditions. This Observation remains deferred for verification. 06/23/00: BA has sent out Flash. The change of the field in the Business Rules has been confirmed, and this Observation	MA Observation report 80.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				is closed.	
81	KPMG observed the receipt of a longer interval from a Due Date Availability (DDA) than was confirmed available by calling the TISOC.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/30/00: Issued 06/02/00: BA requested more time to investigate this issue. This observation remains deferred. 06/09/00: BA has not been able to deliver a response based on the current information and, therefore, has requested a copy of an HP inbound request from KPMG. The status of this Observation remains deferred for further investigation. 06/23/00: KPMG has reviewed BA's response to the HP inbound request and determined this Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 81.doc
82	HP observed that inconsistencies exist between the BA Order EDI Guide v1.8 and the Order Business Rules v1.8.1.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/30/00: Issued 06/02/00: This Observation is directly related to Observation #9. It remains deferred. Both Observations remain deferred until the MA test has been completed. 06/30/00: KPMG was able to validate the BA workaround. This Observation is closed.	MA Observation report 82.doc
83	KPMG observed several instances of unexpected responses to orders.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/30/00: Issued 06/02/00: Issue 83.1 KPMG requested the history for the noted PON from BA for further analysis of the issue. The Issue remains deferred. Issue 83.2 BA explained that this Issue is based on a manual rep error. KPMG should never have received a SEM. KPMG is considering this response. This Issue remains deferred. Issue 83.3 BA announced that the problem was fixed on 5/25/00. This statement is subject to verification, and the Issue remains deferred.	MA Observation report 83.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				06/09/00: Issue 83.2 KPMG agrees with BA's response. This Issue could be resolved and is closed. 06/23/00: Issue 83.1 KPMG has observed no additional occurrences of this problem. This Issue can be closed. Issue 83.3 KPMG continues to monitor, however no additional instances have been observed since BA put in the fix. This Issue remains deferred. 06/30/00: Issue 83.3 Based upon the results of KPMG's retest, this Issue is closed. There are no other issues, and so this Observation is closed.	
84	HP observed that the qualifier for the MLT mapping, as stated in the Pre-Order EDI Guide v2.8.1, differs from the qualifier received in the xDSL Loop Qualification Response (LXA).	Closed	Discussion Complete	 05/30/00: Issued 06/02/00: BA announced that a map change will be implemented with the 6/17 release. This Observation remains deferred for verification of the map change. 06/09/00: BA stated that the documentation regarding this map change has already been published. Flash CR# 1513 is going to follow. This Observation remains deferred for the validation of this statement. 06/30/00: KPMG continues to retest. This Observation remains deferred. 07/10/00: This same issue is has been raised in MA Exception 10, Issue (Z). Therefore, this Issue can be closed. 	MA Observation report 84.doc
85	Documented Flow-Through orders are not flowing through Level 5.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/30/00: Issued 06/02/00: BA explained that it has not defined this migration scenario yet, but it is going to update the flow through document by the end of June. This observation remains deferred for validation. 06/09/00: BA announced the update of the flow through documentation for 6/17/00. The status of this Observation remains deferred for final verification. 06/23/00: KPMG has verified that the flow through document has been updated. This Observation is closed.	MA Observation report 85.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
86	KPMG has detected the receipt of late Billing Completion Notices (BCNs).	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/30/00: Issued 06/02/00: BA disagreed. BA explained that KPMG has to the CRIS completion date in Metrics in order to that the BCNs are not late. KPMG has requeste printout of CRIS reports showing completion day verification. This Observation remains deferred 06/23/00: BA provided the dates for the BCNs and KPMG to verify that the BCNs were not late. This Obsis closed.	verify report 86.doc d a utes for l. G was able
87	KPMG has detected discrepancies between the completion date within the Provisioning Completion Notice (PCN CD) and the Due Date on the last Local Service Confirmation (LSC DD).	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/30/00: Issued 06/02/00: BA agreed. KPMG should not have received P all. This problem was fixed on 5/25/00. KPMG this Observation for verification. 06/23/00: KPMG continues to collect data. This Observations deferred. 06/30/00: KPMG has encountered further instances of this since BA implemented a fix on 5/25. KPMG wan addendum to this Observation. This Observation remains deferred. 07/07/00: KPMG has provided BA the details on these instances of this email. In its response BA explained that since that received six PCNs with Completion Dates the earlier than the Due Date on the last LSC. The PONs received PCNs with correct due dates. To Observation remains deferred for consideration response. 07/21/00: BA explained that this Observation is based on error. A BA representative changed the DD on to KPMG's requested DDD on the LSR and did a second LSC with the new DD. KPMG accept explanation. This Observation has been closed.	defers report 87.doc tion s issue ill issue ation tances via 5/25, BA hat have first 5 his of BA's human the PCN not send

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
88	KPMG has detected three instances of orders that received BCNs without PCNs.	Closed	Discussion Complete	 05/30/00: Issued 06/02/00: BA announced that this problem was fixed on 5/25/00. KPMG defers this Observation for verification. 06/23/00: KPMG continues to collect data. This Observation remains deferred. 06/30/00: KPMG has encountered further instances of this issue since BA implemented a fix on 5/25. This Observation remains deferred. 07/07/00: KPMG has provided BA the details on these instances. BA stated that the Issue is still under investigation. The Observation status remains deferred. 07/20/00: BA explained that the instances KPMG provided are all Level 5. The first PON's PCN was not generated due to a "sporadic" DCAS database issue that is scheduled to be corrected with the 08/19/00 release. BA further explained that the remainder of the PON's did not generate PCN's due to an intermittent DCAS issue with "R" orders. A fix is scheduled for the 08/19/00 release. KPMG accepts BA's explanation. However, both fixes have not been verified by KPMG. Currently, KPMG is not planning to retest this Issue. The Observation has been closed. 	MA Observation report 88.doc
89	Flow through documentation regarding the eligibility of hunting is not publicly or readily available to CLECs.	Closed	Discussion Complete	05/30/00: Issued 06/02/00: BA agreed that this is a valid Observation and announced that the flow through documentation is going to be updated by the end of June. The updated information is going to include information on hunting. The Observation remains deferred for verification. 06/09/00: BA announced the update of the flow through document for 6/17/00. The status of the Observation remains deferred for final verification. 06/23/00: KPMG was able to verify the updated documentation. This Observation is closed.	MA Observation report 89.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
90	KPMG observed that SEMs have not been returned for two orders in LSOG 4 Production due to incorrect EDI formatting.	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/06/00: Issued. Although BA has already addressed this Observation satisfactorily by correcting the EDI formatting, KPMG is publishing this Observation. The status of this Observation is closed.	MA Observation Report 90.doc
91	Flow through documentation is inaccurate or not publicly or readily available to CLECs.	Closed	Discussion Complete	 06/12/00: Issued 06/23/00: Issue 91.1 BA is to provide flow through documentation for line upgrades. KPMG has not yet been able to verify. This Observation remains deferred. Issue 91.2 KPMG was able to verify BA's response. This Issue is closed. Issue 91.3 This Issue remains deferred. 06/30/00: Issue 91.1 BA directed KPMG to the Flow Through Scenarios document, page 3. KPMG stated that some unlike cases will flow through. This Issue remains deferred pending further discussion. Issue 91.3 Based upon BA's response, KPMG believes this Issue can be closed. 07/07/00: Issue 91.1 KPMG has been able to validate the implementation of the following note in the Flow-thru document on the Web: "Unless otherwise noted, all migrations are from and to 'like' service, e.g. pots line to pots line, or pots to basic loop." This Issue can be closed. 	MA Observation report 91.doc
92	Bell Atlantic's Industry Change Management documentation does not appear up to date.	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/12/00: Issued 06/23/00: BA expects updates to be complete by 6/30. This Observation remains deferred. 06/30/00: BA will forward KPMG proposed changes to the web site. This Observation remains deferred until those changes can be verified on the web site. 07/14/00: Based on the updates and revisions to the TIS Change Management Process release on May 22, 1998 by	MA Observation report 92.doc

Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
			replacing it with the TIS Change Management Process released on July 6, 2000 this Observation has been close	
KPMG observed that BA's UNE Interval documentation is incorrect or inconsistent with information provided by TISOC reps.	Closed	Discussion Complete	clarifying instructions. KPMG requested a copy of this memo for validation. This Observation remains deferre 06/30/00: KPMG was able to validate the clarifying instructions is	l.
Bell Atlantic's process for implementing, documenting and tracking metrics change proposals is inadequate and incomplete.	Closed	Discussion Complete	in the monthly data. This Issue remains deferred for consideration of this explanation. Issue 94.3 BA stated that the 01/03/00 – 01/04/00 data had been purged by the time KPMG received the data for January However, the algorithm is correct, and BA matches KPMG. BA will provide an entire month's data for KPMG to validate. This Issue is deferred pending validation. 06/30/00: Issue 94.1 BA announced that future Change Control announcements will be issued with individual numberin for the different domains. BA also announced that a new Change Control manager has been named. This Issue remains deferred.	
	KPMG observed that BA's UNE Interval documentation is incorrect or inconsistent with information provided by TISOC reps. Bell Atlantic's process for implementing, documenting and tracking metrics change proposals is inadequate and	KPMG observed that BA's UNE Interval documentation is incorrect or inconsistent with information provided by TISOC reps. Bell Atlantic's process for implementing, documenting and tracking metrics change proposals is inadequate and	KPMG observed that BA's UNE Interval documentation is incorrect or inconsistent with information provided by TISOC reps. Bell Atlantic's process for implementing, documenting and tracking metrics change proposals is inadequate and	RPMG observed that BA's UNE Interval documentation is incorrect or inconsistent with information provided by TISOC reps. Bell Atlantic's process for implementing, documenting and tracking metrics change proposals is inadequate and incomplete. Closed Discussion Complete Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed Discussion Complete Closed

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
95	KPMG observed inconsistent	Closed	Discussion	06/19/00: Issued	MA
	processing of flow through orders.		Complete	06/23/00: BA has already satisfactorily explained the issue, but KPMG is publishing the Observation. This Observation is closed.	Observation report 95.doc
96	HP observed discrepancies in the EDI data files received for Bell Atlantic pre-order and order responses.	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/19/00: Issued 06/23/00: Issue 96.1 Document specifies that GS04 date is eight characters in length. This Issue is closed. Issue 96.2 Deferred until 06/30/00 Issue 96.3 This Issue was corrected with the June release. BA will provide the date. This Issue remains deferred for verification. Issue 96.4 BA agreed, and is looking into the problem. This Issue remains deferred. 06/30/00: Issue 96.2 BA stated that a fix was implemented on 6/14 for the CTE environment, and on 6/17 for the Production environment. This Issue remains deferred for discussion. Issue 96.3 Based upon the results of KPMG's retest, this Issue is closed. Issue 96.4 Based upon the results of KPMG's retest, this Issue is closed. 07/07/00: Issue 96.2 KPMG reported that no further instances have been reported during the remainder of the test. Therefore this Issue can be closed.	MA Observation report 96.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
					Documents
97	KPMG observed that Bell Atlantic's documented Methods and Procedures and actual coordinated hot-cut practices are inconsistent.	Closed	Discussion Complete	 06/19/00: Issued 06/23/00: Issue 97.1 BA stated that RCCC logs indicate that the calls in question were completed. At KPMG's request, BA will forward a copy of these logs by 06/30/00. This Issue is deferred pending verification. Issue 97.2 BA stated that a call had been placed prior to the hot-cut. KPMG requested a copy of the call log. This Issue is deferred pending verification. Issue 97.3 BA agreed. The issue was the result of manual error. The tech is being trained. KPMG requested a copy of the internal memo. This Issue is deferred pending verification. Issue 97.4 BA stated that a new version of MDF Job Aid was released internally on 05/24/00. KPMG has requested a copy. This Issue is deferred pending verification. Issue 97.5 BA announced it would clarify the language within the M&P documents. This Issue is deferred pending verification. 07/07/00: Issue 97.4 KPMG has received and reviewed a copy of the new version of MDF Job Aid. This Issue can be closed. Issue 97.5 KPMG has reviewed the updated M&P documents and agrees to the clarification. This Issue can be closed. 07/17/00: Issue 97.1 KPMG has received and reviewed the RCCC logs that indicate that the calls in question were completed. This Issue can be closed. Issue 97.2 KPMG has received and reviewed the copy of the call log. This Issue can be closed. 	MA Observation report 97.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes		Additional Documents
					as received and reviewed the copy of the lemo. This Issue can be closed.	
98	Documented Methods and Procedures for provisioning coordinated hot-cuts are inconsistent across central office locations.	Closed	Discussion Complete	dates. BA document may have will verify consistent deferred p 07/07/00: KPMG h	ed out that KPMG visits occurred on different a was in the process of upgrading M&P ation during that period, and some of the COs received the new version before others. KPMG in the coming weeks that all COs are using a documentation. This Observation remains bending verification. as been able to verify that all COs are using a documentation. This Observation has been	MA Observation report 98.doc
99	KPMG observed an instance of an unexpected SEM for a valid REQTYP entry.	Closed	Discussion Complete		on the results of KPMG's re-test, this on can be closed.	MA Observation report 99.doc
100	KPMG observed an instance of inconsistent documentation provided by BA via Change Control and in the BA North Order Business Rules v1.8.1.	Closed	Discussion Complete	updated in communic requested remains d 07/13/00: KPMG re	I that the methods Flash it had sent out was not in the TISOC database. BA will send a qué to the TISOC with the update. KPMG a copy of this memo. This Observation efferred pending validation of BA's statement. Exceived and reviewed the memo on 6/26/2000 ional Letter #: 2000-0022). This Observation used.	MA Observation report 100.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional
101					Documents
101	KPMG observed instances of unclear documentation in the BA North Order Business Rules v1.8.1.	Closed	Discussion Complete	 06/19/00: Issued. 06/23/00: BA agreed. BA stated that the Business Rules are incorrect. It will send a flash to correct. This Observation is deferred. 07/17/00: BA announced that a Flash will go out on 07/20/00. The Flash will describe a workaround addressing this problem. This Observation is deferred pending the Flash and verification. 07/25/00: BA released an Informational Message via Change Control to the CLEC community regarding "Loop Regrade Ordering Policy". KPMG is assessing and retesting the information. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 08/04/00: KPMG has submitted orders to retest BA's fix for this Observation and has received LSCs. KPMG will monitor the orders until the Due Date of 8/10 and will look for a BCN shortly after this date. This Observation remains deferred. 8/14/00: KPMG completed a successful retest following guidelines posted on July 25, 2000 by BA Change Control in an Informational Message titled, "Loop Regrade Ordering Policy". This Observation has been closed. 	MA Observation report 101.doc
102	KPMG has received Local Service order Confirmations (LSCs) from Bell Atlantic for flow-through orders during SOP downtime.	Closed	Discussion Complete	 06/19/00: Issued 06/23/00: BA stated that the SOP may be available intermittently during the downtime. BA also stated that the SOP hours are being extended; the new hours will be communicated to the CLECs. KPMG requested a copy of this notification. This Observation remains deferred pending verification. 06/30/00: KPMG has received Flash #1543. This Observation remains deferred. 07/07/00: KPMG has been able to verify the updated web site on the extended SOP hours. KPMG has not validated that BA's offered solution is going to fix the problem. 	MA Observation report 102.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional
103	Bell Atlantic records Complex ISDN orders as POTS orders I its transaction data.	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/22/00: Issued. 07/07/00: BA-MA agreed. BA-MA had been using a "quick fix" to identify Complex orders and had been using the AECN RISD field to identify the CLECs that submit only Complex orders. BA-MA has proposed both a short-term solution and a long-term solution. For the short term, a high-level SORD feed will be implemented on July 14 th to identify Complex orders. For the long term, BA-MA will implement a disaggregated direct feed that will supply Complex flags. This solution will be implemented on August 19 th and will be reported by September 1 st . This Observation remains deferred for further consideration of BA's suggested solutions. 07/13/00: KPMG received data from BA and was able to verify that BA has implemented the short-term fix proposed in their response from 07/07/00. This Observation can be closed based on this result. KPMG has not verified the long-term fix to be implemented on 09/01/00.	MA Observation report 103.doc
104	Both LSOG 2 and LSOG 4 documentation provide incorrect error correction procedures. In addition, BA error documentation excludes an explanation of REJ (reject) codes.	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/27/00: Issued 06/30/00: BA deferred its response. 07/14/00: Issue 104.1 BA disagreed. BA explained that the error corrections that are referred to in sections 3.2 and 1.5 are corrections to accepted orders, that is orders that have been accepted by the Bell Atlantic OSS systems. 860 or supplemental transactions may only be sent against these orders. Rejected orders (as detailed in CR#1586) are not accepted by Bell Atlantic Order Systems and therefore cannot be modified with a supplement or an 860 transaction. KPMG received Flash CR# 1586 on 7/14/00. The update will be incorporated into the next version of the Order Error Messages document. The Observation remains deferred. Issue 104.2 BA stated this Issue has been answered by Flash CR#1586. This Issue remains deferred for verification. 07/20/00: KPMG has reviewed Flash CR# 1586 and accepts BA's notification of the update to the Order Error Messages	MA Observation report 104.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				document. Both Issues under this Observation have been closed. The update of the Preface in the next version has not been verified.	
105	KPMG observed discrepancies between the Local Service Request and EDI format of the June 2000 release of the Regression and Quality Baseline Validation Test Decks.	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/28/00: Issued 06/30/00: BA stated that EDI is used to generate the results of the test deck. The EDI inputs and outputs provided are correct. The LSRs provided are simply an additional reference for CLECs. These LSRs are manually typed in, and any errors are typographical errors. BA is working on a solution to automate this process. This Observation remains deferred. 07/14/00: BA explained the current steps undertaken to publish Local Service Request (LSR) information into the Quality Baseline Validation Test Deck ("Test Deck") as follows: (1) The BA Business Rules team is the owner of an Excel spreadsheet which is called the "control sheet". The control sheet is where the Business Rules team enters all the LSR information for the Test Deck. (2) A copy of the control sheet is provided to the EDI testers to perform their quality assurance testing. (3) The information in the control sheet is also manually typed into a Word document which is then published to the CLEC industry as the new release Test Deck document. It is the manual entry step that causes quality problems with the Test Deck document. For the upcoming October 2000 new release, BA will start implementing a new process to address this quality problem. BA's proposes the following new process: (1) The Business Rules team will still own a control sheet. (2) There will exist a separate spreadsheet for each Test Deck. This spreadsheet will be linked to the control sheet so as changes are made to the control sheet, the linked spreadsheet(s) will automatically be updated. Irrelevant	MA Observation report 105.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				fields will not appear in the spreadsheet. (3) When a new Test Deck is published, the contents in the linked spreadsheet will be copied and pasted into the Word document. This Observation remains deferred for further consideration of BA's suggested new solution to this problem. 07/16/00: KPMG acknowledges that BA has initiated steps to address the quality concerns satisfactorily. This Observation can be closed. The process improvement has not been validated. KPMG has no plan to validate the improvement.	
106	Bell Atlantic did not process flow through-eligible resale and platform orders at Level 5.	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/28/00: Issued 06/30/00: BA stated that the first PON referenced should have flown through, but did not due to a rare application error. BA also stated that the second PON referenced is not flow-through as written. The TISOC manually processed the order when it should have been queried back to KPMG. KPMG will consider this response. This Observation remains deferred. 07/07/00: KPMG agreed to BA's response. The Observation is closed.	MA Observation report 106.doc
107	KPMG observed several instances of late notification of a "no facilities" condition during ADSL qualified loop installations.	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/29/00: Issued. 07/07/00: BA agreed. KPMG requested and received supporting documentation (RCCC logs). The status of this Observation remains deferred. 07/13/00: KPMG has received the supporting documentation regarding this Issue, and has been able to confirm that BA's performance was in an acceptable range. This Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 107.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
108	A KPMG LSOG 4, resale, stand- alone directory listing service order was routed by Bell Atlantic to the wholesale department.	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/29/00: Issued. 07/07/00: BA agreed. In its response, BA referred to the Business Rules and clarified that the 'REQTYP' field on the LSR form should be populated with "DB" and the 'Activity' field with "J". The Observation remains deferred for further consideration of this response. 07/13/00: BA explained that LSR form should be populated with "EB" for 'REQTYP' and not "DB" as stated on 07/07/00. KPMG has retested this Issue and was able to confirm that BA's statement is correct. This Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 108.doc
109	Call records were missing from the Daily Usage Feed (DUF) files received from Bell Atlantic.	Closed	Discussion Complete	06/30/00: Issued. 07/07/00: BA agreed. This Observation remains deferred. 07/14/00: BA explained the reason for the missing call records being the following: Eleven call records could not be found (ref # 2,3,6,9-13,18,21&22). For five call records, according to BA, no call event for date and time has been specified. The Call duration time was "0" (ref # 1,16,17,19,20). These calls would not be billable and, therefore, no records have been sent. For six call records, the Order activity was on the account (ref # 4,5,7,8,15,23). These accounts were switched from retail to resale/UNE. Calls were placed on due date of order, and before the toll guide was updated. Finally, one Valuflex call (ref # 14) that is owned by BA had no record sent. KPMG accepts BA's explanation regarding all Items. This Observation can be closed.	

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional
					Documents
110	KPMG observed that the process	Closed	Discussion	07/07/00: Issued.	MA
	for ordering xDSL migrations in		Complete	07/14/00: BA stated that when the first character of the REQTY	
	the BA-North Order Business			'A', the ACT field cannot be populated with 'V'.	report 110.doc
	Rules v 4.3.1 is incorrect.			07/17/00: KPMG agreed to BA's response. However, in the	
				instance referenced in the Observation report, the ACT	
				field was populated with "C". And when using an LNA	\
				of "C" on the LS form according to Business Rules,	
				KPMG received an error message. It appears that the	
	,			Business Rules are incorrect for loop orders when the	irst
				character of the REQTYP = "A" in an upgrade from	
				POTS to xDSL service. Currently, a character of "C"	S
				not prohibited on the LNA field of the LS form when	
- 1				upgrading a POTS line to xDSL. KPMG stated that the	ere
				was a typographical error in the listed PON. The	
				corrected Observation report has been issued. This	
i				Observation remains deferred for further discussion.	
				07/18/00: BA explained that an upgrade of this nature is not	
				supported by BA today. A CLEC would have to reque	
[a 'disconnect' first, and then a new. There is no specif	ic
1				documentation that states this process. 07/21/00: BA announced that a CLEC notification will go out	
į				regarding this Observation.	
ſ				07/25/00: BA released an Informational Message via Change	
				Control to the CLEC community titled "Loop Regrade	
				Ordering Policy". KPMG is assessing the information	
i				The status of this Observation remains deferred.	•
				07/26/00: KPMG agrees that the "Loop Regrade Ordering Policy	,,,
			Į.	as presented in the Informational Message via Change	
				Control to the CLEC community delivers a satisfactory	
				solution to this Observation. The Observation can be	
1				closed.	

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
111	KPMG observed that flow through orders have been processed inconsistently.	Closed	Discussion Complete	07/10/00: Issued. 07/14/00: BA stated that the PON's noted on this Observation received an internal system error message due to an unavailable backend system. BA further explained that the in the Observation listed LSR's went Level 4, as all service orders associated with those were mechanically generated. TISOC intervention was only required to allow the system to send the LSC. BA concluded that instances of this nature are captured in BA's Achieved Flow Through Metric. This Observation remains deferred for consideration of BA's response. 07/18/00: KPMG agrees to BA's response. This Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 111.doc
112	The BA Pre-Order Business Rules version 2.8.1 states that the Service Address State/Province (SAST) Field is conditional on a Address Validate Inquiry/ Direct TN Selection Inquiry (ADR). KPMG observed, however, that this field is required.	Closed	Discussion Complete	07/12/00: Issued. 07/14/00: BA agreed. The Business Rules are not in line with the actual practice. Flash CR# 1516 addresses this Observation. This Observation remains deferred for further analysis of the Flash. 07/21/00: KPMG has received Flash CR# 1516 and acknowledged the announced change of the SAST Field from 'conditional' to 'required' with the next release of the Pre-Order Business Rules. This Observation can be closed. The change could not be verified.	MA Observation report 112.doc
113	Two DS1 circuits were found to be out of service due to Bell Atlantic wiring transpositions between the MUX and the RJ48 jack (Demarcation Point).	Closed	Discussion Complete	07/12/00: Issued. 07/14/00: BA stated that further clarification of this Observation is needed. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 07/17/00: This problem is a result of human error in wiring transposition. Therefore, this Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 113.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional
114	KPMG observed four DS1 circuits installed with an incorrect Demarcation Labeling based on BA's Methods & Procedures.	Closed	Discussion Complete	07/12/00: Issued. 07/14/00: BA suspects that the Demarcation of the listed DS1 circuits has been mislabeled. This Observation remains deferred for further investigation. 07/17/00: BA's assessment could be confirmed by KPMG. This Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 114.doc
115	KPMG has received misleading error messages when submitting orders as described by the Bell Atlantic North Order Business Rules, Version 4.3.1.	Closed	Discussion Completed	07/19/00: Issued. 07/20/00: Addendum for clarification of both Issues released. As a result, the original Observation has been closed. 07/24/00: BA asked KPMG to supply the inbound EDI request and the EDI response from BA for the PON's referenced in Issues 1 and 2. 07/25/00: KPMG provided BA with two PON numbers and the related version of the LSR's. BA is still analyzing this Observation. This Observation remains deferred. 7/28/00: BA disagreed and explained that in both cases (Issue 115.1 and 115.2), the BA generated error messages were due to KPMG incorrectly constructing EDI inputs. These inputs, when read by BA, generated the messages based on what the order actually contained, which was not what KPMG intended or states above. Issue 115.1 BA explained that KPMG submitted an order with incorrect EDI structure. The fifth PO1 Loop did not properly identify the loop as a Level 1 indent. (The level is missing from the SLN 5 data- see BA Order EDI LSOG Specification Document Example 3.5, DSCR depiction). BA then correctly mapped the SI[TI]C3 data to the Header Telephone Number. This generates the error message above correctly. Issue 115.2 BA explained that KPMG incorrectly identified POC 1 as a Port Service Form ("SS") instead of identifying it as a Hunting segment (HNT). This caused BA to map the data to a Port Service Form, and since no Telephone Number (for a Port Service form) is present in this segment, the correct error message was generated. BA error messages were correct for the transactions as	MA Observation report 115.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes		Additional
				7/28/00:	submitted. KPMG has considered BA's explanation regarding both Issues and agrees. This Observation can be closed.	Documents
116	KPMG noticed that in several cases Local Service Billing Completion (LSBCM) responses received from BA-MA did not contain the required fields 'Service Order Identifier' (SOID) and 'Service Order Billing Telephone Number' (SOBTN).	Closed	Discussion Completed	07/28/00: 08/03/00:	Issued. Further analysis showed that the SOID and SOBTN fields are not in the Business Rules version 4.1.1 and, therefore, should not have been included in the analysis of the orders referenced in this Observation that were sent prior to the 4.3.1 release (June 17th). Orders sent after June 17th have the SOID and SOBTN fields. This Observation can be closed.	MA Observation report 116.doc
117	KPMG observed that in several cases, the Due Date (DD) on the Local Response received from BA-MA contained a date that did not match the Desired Due Date (DDD) sent to BA-MA on the Local Service Request.	Closed	Discussion Completed	07/31/00: 08/01/00: 8/18/00:	Issued. BA explained that it understands the importance of being consistent with the Desired Due Date requested by the CLEC and the Due Date assigned by BA-MA. Further, BA stated that in two of the three the cases mentioned in this Observation, BA methods were followed as described in document 2000-0015. The document states that "if the CLEC requests a short interval BA may assign the correct interval and then confirm the new Due Date back to the CLEC on the LSC". This occurred for PON #037011ZM0X000001 and #058012ZM0X010001 (on this PON the rep over calculated the date by 1 day, therefore, a 13 day interval was assigned instead of the proper 12 day interval). On the third PON #025012ZM0X00004, the Due Date was changed due to rep error. BA concluded that the 6/27/00 requested Due Date should have been used. The Observation remains deferred for further consideration of BA's response. BA has provided KPMG with a second response	MA Observation report 117.doc

ID	Brief Description	Status	Status Reason	Notes	Additional Documents
				addressing each of the 29 PONs in question. KPMG has assessed BA's response and raised this Observation to MA Exception #16. Therefore, this Observation can be closed.	
118	KPMG observed that BA-MA is unable to perform CLEC to CLEC loop migrations in both LSOG 2 and LSOG 4.	Closed	Discussion Completed	08/01/00: Issued. 08/07/00: BA disagreed. BA explained that complex migrations of unbundled elements and resale and platform services are an industry wide set of issues that still requires industry definition, consensus, and validation before BA can reasonably produce all the "rules' for all these transactions. Further, BA stated that it currently provide rules for transaction such as Platform to Platform migrations, migrations from Resale to Platform and Resale to Loop/Loop w/LNP. BA believes industry agreement permits such transactions. According to BA's response activities such as Loop to Loop migrations contain unresolved industry issues, such as: "Does an order from a New Service provider give the Network Service Provider (BA) the authority to remove the loop from the old Local Service Provider's inventory without separate express permission from the that Provider?" BA pointed out that these issues are being discussed with the Wholesale Community in several forums, including BA Change Control hosted Workshops, the current OBF working committee, and under a NY PSC proceeding. Finally, BA argued that until the industry resolves and concurs on these issues, BA could only handle such requests on a case by case basis with full cooperation of	report 118.doc
				both the new and old Local Service Provider. This Observation remains deferred for consideration of BA's response. 8/18/00: KPMG has considered BA's response and has come to the conclusion that this Observation can be closed.	e